RKP Inaug Draft 2 1/10/69 Draft 2 1/10/69 Draft 2 1/10/69

We all share today in a solemn ceremony -- an orderly transfer of power -- that testifies anew to the resilience and strength of our free institutions.

In years past, America has confronted great tests of that strength and that resilience -- tests of war abroad and division at home.

Today, we face another great test -- perhaps the greatest of all, because the most fundamental.

This is not a test of war -- though the cruel agonies of war in a distant land have greatly intensified our troubles.

It is not, as in Lincoln's day, the test of a rending cleavage that threatened to tear our nation in two.

It is a test more subtle, yet more pervasive; more difficult, because our divisions have no boundaries; more elusive, because it reaches every facet of our lives.

It calls us, not to confront an alien foe, but to confront ourselves.

We are caught up in a crisis of the spirit, testing whether, as a people, we still have the capacity to live together.

This has not been brought on by anyone's evil design. The blame belongs to no person, no Administration, no party and no group. In one sense, we all are a little bit guilty; yet in

W

No blen

He four to glow of fort

another sense, none of us is.

It's a crisis we've been brought to by forces we never sufficiently understood -- forces loosed by the pace of technological change, heightened by the strains of rapid social readjustment, sharpened by our still-unfamiliar responsibilities as a world power.

But whatever its causes, this crisis confronts us today with compelling urgency.

We see its evidence on every side: in crime and fear and ugly outbreaks of hate; in resort to the "politics of confrontation;" in campus turmoil and labor strife; in bitterness and distrust between the races, and between the generations; in the shrill invective that increasingly passes for public discourse; in the anxious uncertainty that haunts our vision of the future.

At another level, we see it in the breakdown of standards of public behavior. The old restraints no longer restrain; the old disciplines no longer hold -- and we don't have new restraints or new disciplines to take their place.

If we're to meet a crisis, we first have to define it.

As I look at the spectrum of America's torments today, it seems to me they can be summed up in a single phrase: a crisis of community.

Whether we think in terms of race, or poverty, or alianation; of crowded cities or congested streets or polluted air; of riot, demonstration or crime, of economic conflict or political turmoil, we're talking about the problems of living

to be neighbors.

As we look back over the sweep of history, we can trace the rise and fall of civilizations in terms of the strengthening and weakening of their sense of community.

Here in America, the first settlers carved a community out of the wilderness. A gathering sense of community sparked the flame of independence, and then of union. Pioneers carried it westward across the continent. It was enriched by diversity as the waves of immigrants came, cherishing the land that promised hope. It was annealed in war, tried in depression, and, in the days after World War II, given a new dimension as America reached out its helping hand to the world.

Yet now, as our abundance has multiplied, our sense of community has crumbled. We have found ourselves rich in goods, but ragged in spirit; reaching with magnificent precision for the moon, but falling into raucous discord here on earth.

This is not a crisis that can be met by laws alone, or by programs alone, or by new declarations of national purpose.

Our crisis goes deeper. It's a question not of what we as a nation want to do, but of what we choose to be.

Nearly two centuries ago, we decided that we were to be a free nation of free men -- and in a spasm of revolution, America was born.

A century ago, we determined that we were to be one nation indivisible -- and we ratified that choice in the agony of civil war.

and the

Today, the question is whether we are to be a nation of liberty under law; whether we choose community or confrontation as our way of life, whether we preserve the essential cement that makes us one coole, or disintegrate into a coelepit of quarrelsome factions.

To be part of a community is to yield something of ourselves; to practice that basic self-discipline that makes it possible for needle to live together. All of us have to give a little, yield a little, band a little. But this is what community means: not a mass of isolated individuals, but people reaching out to one another, sharing, participating -- giving.

Never until we give do we learn how much we truly have.

When each of us gives something of himself, together

we have far more.

If we're to restore a sense of community, we have to reather a sense of participation. Time and again, reople for things imprening around them that deeply affect their site.

-- without being aware that they've ever willed it, or even been consulted.

We've got to bring government closer to the people, a commake it more responsive to the people.

We've got to recognize that what people want is important in itself; that people have a ri it to choose; that the experts are often better divised to let people choose the "wrong" thing for thems.

Jule stoll

We've got to find new ways of listening -- not only to the hum of computers, but to the voices of people -- and not only to the clamorous, but to the quiet; and to the voices that speak without words, and the voices that have despaired of being heard.

We've got to fashion new channels for dissent -- channels within the system, instead of outside it; channels of active participation instead of angry protest.

We cannot endure as two nations, one black, one white.

We are one people, under one God, rooted in one soil, consecrated by the blood and toil that have made us one. We cannot leave the American community in two halves and call it whole; and it will not be whole until the black man shares equally in the promise of the American dream and the fullness of human dignity.

The Twentieth Century has been a time of unprecedented change and spectacular development.

We stand now on the threshold of the final third of that century. Only eight years from now, America will celebrate its 200th anniversary as a nation -- and within the lifetimes of most Americans now living, mankind will celebrate that great new year that comes only once in a thousand years -- the beginning of the third milennium.

What kind of a nation we have then -- what kind of a world we have -- will depend on the choices that we as a people make in these next few critical years: not only on what government does, but more fundamentally on the decisions each

and every one of us makes -- to withdraw, or to participate; to sieze or to share; to destroy or to build.

Governments can pass laws. Only the people can forge a community.

Governments can lead. Only the people can open their hearts.

caught in the maelstrom, our eyes have been fixed on the strains that are tearing our society apart, not on the cements that can hold it together.

Our great strength is our people.

Never has any nation been so abundantly blessed with people of trained intelligence, compassionate concern and inspired ideals.

These ideals have given heart to the world; our success has given hope to the world.

Now, our example is needed to light the way for the world.'

Together, we can furnsih that light -- and the land can be bright for years to come.

The burdens of this office I cheerfully accept, and ask God's blessing that I may know the right. Today we celebrate; tomorrow, the work begins -- and as I take up that work I ask your hand and your help, so that together we can make America what it can become.